

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/774,733	02/09/2004	Nobumasa Sasa	KOY-18 9205	
20311 7590	08/17/2006		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
475 PARK AVEN	NUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	Y 10016		1712	TATER NOMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/774,733	SASA, NOBUMASA					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert Sellers	1712					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ly 2006</u> .						
		action is non-final.						
3)	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6 and 9-12 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau							
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment	c(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		D-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The second of th	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The informalities cited in the non-Final rejection mailed April 27, 2006 on page 4, paragraph 4 have been resolved by the amendment filed July 27, 2006.

- 2. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection over copending application no. 10/826,059 has been overcome by the terminal disclaimer filed July 27, 2006.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 102 rejections over Laksin et al. Patent No. 6,727,295;
 Frings et al. Patent No. 6,770,686; Crivello Patent No. 4,319,974;
 the <u>Journal of Polymer Science: Part A: Polymer Chemistry</u> article by Crivello et al.,
 Japanese Patent No. 2002-47474, CAPLUS accession no. 1998:808928,
 PCT Publication No. WO 96/21702, Sullivan et al. Publication No. 2004/00225025;
 Misev Patent No. 6,235,807; Schulthess et al. Patent No. 5,783,358; Hatton et al.
 Patent No. 2004/0106769 and Shimoda et al. Publication No. 2004/0059085 as well as the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection over European Patent No. 118,748 are rescinded. The insertion of the limitations of claim 2 defining an epoxy compound of general formula (1) into independent claim 1 is not recited by the aforementioned prior art.

The text of section 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent No. 118,748 and Takai Publication No. 2004/0242839.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,733 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to the claims hereinabove, and further in view of Yatake Patent No. 7,030,174; Oka et al. Publication No. 2002/0020832 and Shirakawa et al. Publication No. 2001/0045178.

The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection. The arguments filed July 27, 2006 have been considered but are unpersuasive. Both the European patent (page 5, Formula I, R₁, R₉, R₁₀ and R₁₈; page 7, Formula II, R¹, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹⁸; and page 8, Formula III, R₁, R₉, R₃ and R₄ and Takai (page 3, paragraphs 37 and 38, Formula (I), R¹, R⁹, R¹⁰ and R¹⁸) depict cycloaliphatic diepoxides conforming to claimed general formula (1) wherein the aforementioned R groups at the α - or β - positions include hydrocarbon moieties.

4. The mere fact that these substituents are not exemplified does not discount the express illustration of their presence in the European patent and Takai. It would have been obvious the employ the cycloaliphatic diepoxides of the European patent and Takai with hydrocarbon substituents at the α - or β - positions in order to regulate the reactivity of the oxirane groups.

Art Unit: 1712

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712